

Examination Report

Exam Session:	February 2024
Exam Paper:	Unit 2
<p>The purpose of the report is to provide feedback to tutors and candidates on the candidates' performance in the examination. This report contains recommendations and guidance as to the key points candidates should have included in their answers in the February 2024 Unit 2 examination.</p> <p>This report is intended to be a useful document that comments on overall performance by candidates in the February 2024 Unit 2 examination, advises on how performance might be improved and indicates what should be contained in successful answers to the questions in the examination paper.</p> <p>This report should be read in conjunction with the relevant examination paper and marker guidance. The suggested points for responses contained in the marker guidance are points that a response from a good (Merit/Distinction) candidate would have provided. Candidates will have received credit, where applicable, for other points not addressed by the marker guidance.</p>	
Summary of Candidate Performance	
<p>This was the ninth sitting of the Unit 2 examination in this format and the final sitting for the old, 2020, iteration of the ACLT course.</p> <p>Within the examination the question paper assessed 100% of the learning outcomes that had not been assessed within assignments on the relevant modules.</p> <p>There was a total of 2 candidates that sat this paper.</p> <p>Overall, performance was reasonable considering both students had failed previous attempts at the exam. Therefore for 1 student now to achieve a pass is obvious progress and the outcome is reasonable as 1 student also failed.</p> <p>50% of candidates passed. The breakdown of the numbers of fails, passes, merits and distinctions is provided in the statistics below, along with a question by question breakdown of the whole paper.</p> <p>For the purposes of moderation, a sample of papers were selected, representing 100% of the total number of submissions which is as per the sample required by ACLT internal moderation guidelines.</p> <p>1 marker marked the scripts which ensured marking consistency and made the moderation process easier.</p> <p>The table below sets out the data on the paper.</p>	

Number of Candidates	2
Total Fails	1
Total Pass	1
% Pass	50
% Fail	50
Classification of Marks Achieved	
% Total in Pass Band	1
% Total in Merit Band	0
% Total in Distinction Band	0

The first four questions on the paper were compulsory for all candidates and carried the lowest marks per question (10 marks). On the whole, the performance on these questions was weak with an overall average of just over 4 marks which was across all Section A questions. This average is below a pass mark.

For the remaining 3 questions on the paper, in section B, candidates were required to select these from 5 optional questions and carried 20 marks per question. On the whole, the performance on these questions was reasonable. Mark percentages ranged from 40% to 70% for these Section B questions.

Candidate Performance For Question 1

This was a compulsory question on the paper, found within section A, the question attracted up to 10 marks. Candidates were required to explain why it is important to respond to Claim Forms and what can be done if a default judgment is entered.

Number of Candidates	2
Total Fails	0
Total Pass	2
% Pass	100
% Fail	0

Performance on this question was excellent with all candidates passing. Marks ranged from 6 to 7. Candidates provided the required knowledge and therefore were able to obtain a mark in excess of a pass.

Candidate Performance For Question 2

This was a compulsory question on the paper, found within section A, the question attracted up to 10 marks. Candidates were required to explain the approach of courts to the recoverability of ATE premiums.

Number of Candidates	2
Total Fails	2
Total Pass	0
% Pass	0
% Fail	100

Performance on this question was not good as all students failed. 1 student failed to answer the question which may have been due to poor time management. Marks ranged from 0 to 4.

Candidate Performance For Question 3

This was a compulsory question on the paper, found within section A, the question attracted up to 10 marks. Candidates were required to explain the circumstances in which "non-authorised persons" can legitimately carry out reserved legal activities.

Number of Candidates	2
Total Fails	1
Total Pass	1
% Pass	50
% Fail	50

Performance on this question was reasonable with 1 student passing and the other student failing. Marks ranged from 4 to 6.

Candidate Performance For Question 4

This was a compulsory question on the paper, found within section A, the question attracted up to 10 marks. Candidates were required to describe the steps lawyers and firms need to take to avoid being caught by the legislation and regulations with respect to money laundering.

Number of Candidates	2
Total Fails	1
Total Pass	1
% Pass	50
% Fail	50

Performance on this question was reasonable with 1 student passing and the other student failing. Marks awarded ranged from 2 to 6.

Candidate Performance For Question 5

This was an optional question in section B of the paper and this question attracted up to 20 marks. Candidates were required to write an email giving advice to explain the grounds for summary judgment to include the procedure and case law provisions.

Number of Candidates	2
Total Fails	2
Total Pass	0
% Pass	0
% Fail	100

Performance on this question was not good with both students failing. Marks ranged from 8 to 9.

Candidate Performance For Question 6

This was an optional question in section B of the paper and this question attracted up to 20 marks. Candidates were required to write an email advising on the protection afforded by QOCS and its effects.

Number of Candidates	1
Total Fails	0
Total Pass	1
% Pass	100
% Fail	0

Performance on this question was very good with the 1 candidate who attempted the question passing the question. The mark was 12.

Candidate Performance For Question 7

This was an optional question in section B of the paper and this question attracted up to 20 marks. Candidates were required to prepare an email explaining the legal position on fees and authorised works.

Number of Candidates	1
Total Fails	1
Total Pass	0
% Pass	0
% Fail	100

Performance on this question was not good as the only student answering the question failed. The mark was 9.

Candidate Performance For Question 8

This was an optional question in section B of the paper and this question attracted up to 20 marks. The question concerned providing advice on options to remedy the legal representative's unprofessional behaviour.

Number of Candidates	1
Total Fails	0
Total Pass	1
% Pass	100
% Fail	0

Performance on this question was very good given the only student who answered the question passed it. The mark was 14.

Candidate Performance For Question 9

This was an optional question in section B of the paper and this question attracted up to 20 marks. The question concerned writing a memo on money laundering and steps required to ensure compliance with reference to the legislative framework., customer due diligence and money laundering offences.

Number of Candidates	1
Total Fails	0
Total Pass	1
% Pass	100
% Fail	0

Performance on this question was very good as the only candidate to answer this question passed it. The mark was 12.

Overall Comments

The moderator was happy with the standard of marking and the consistency was very good with only one marker. Overall students struggled with obtaining marks in the questions either because of poor time management, lack of detail within the answer, not fully answering the question and not applying the knowledge to the facts of the scenario. Better performing candidates were able to provide sufficient detail and apply the knowledge to the facts of the scenario.

Mark Armstrong
Moderator